Attitudes and Perceptions of Pharmacists About Home care pharmacy services in Saudi Arabia

Yousef Ahmed Alomi*, 🕩

BSc. Pharm, MSc. Clin Pharm, BCPS, BCNSP, DiBA, CDE

Critical care clinical pharmacists, TPN clinical pharmacist, Freelancer Business Planner, Content Editor, and Data Analyst, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA.

Saad Mashahen Alblawi, Assistant General Manager for Planning and

Transformation, Heath Affairs Directorate, Ministry of Heath, Tabuk, SAUDI ARABIA.

Hussa Mubarak Muwainea, Bsc. Pharm, MSc. Pharm, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA.

Areej Abdullah Al Jasser, Bc. Pharm, MSc. Clin Pharm, Director, Total Quality Management, King Fahd Specialist Hospital, Buraydah, SAUDI ARABIA.

Maha Hussein Almadany, Bsc. Pharm, Health Care Quality Management Professional Diploma (HCQM), Pharmacy Quality department, King Salman bin Abdulaziz Medical City, Al Madina Al Monwarah, SAUDI ARABIA.

Osama Ali Alzahrani, Pharm D, College of Pharmacy, Taif University, Tail, SAUDI ARABIA.

Correspondence:

Dr. Yousef Ahmed Alomi, Bsc. Pharm, msc. Clin pharm, bcps, bCNSP, DiBA, CDE Critical Care Clinical Pharmacists, TPN Clinical Pharmacist, Freelancer Business Planner, Content Editor and Data Analyst, PO.BOX 100, Riyadh 11392, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA.

Phone no: +966 504417712 E-mail: yalomi@gmail.com

Received: 01-07-2022;

Accepted: 19-10-2022;

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee International Journal of Pharmacology and Clinical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License

Access this article online



ABSTRACT

Objectives: To explore the attitudes and perceptions of pharmacists about home care pharmacy services in Saudi Arabia. Methods: The study analyzed a cross-sectional survey that discussed the attitudes and perceptions of pharmacists about home care pharmacy services in Saudi Arabia. The survey consisted of respondents' demographic information about pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services, barriers, which factors may discourage the implementation of home care pharmacy services, and recommendations/suggestions for facilitating the implementation of home healthcare pharmacy services. The 5-point Likert response scale system was used with closed-ended questions. The survey was validated through the revision of expert reviewers and pilot testing. Besides, various tests of reliability, McDonald's $\omega,$ Cronbach alpha, Gutmann's $\lambda 2,$ and Gutmann's $\lambda 6$ were done with the study. Furthermore, the data analysis of the attitudes and perceptions of pharmacists about home care pharmacy services is done through the survey monkey system. Besides, the statistical package of social sciences (SPSS), Jeffery's Amazing Statistics Program (JASP), and Microsoft Excel sheet version 16. Results: A total number of 393 pharmacists responded to the questionnaire. Of them, more than three-quarters responded from the Central region (303 (77.10%)), with statistically significant differences between the provinces (p=0.000). Males responded less than females (195 (49.74%)) versus 197 (50.26%%)), with statistically non-significant differences between them (p=0.920). Most of the responders were in the age group of 24-35 years (267 (67.94%)) and 36-45 years (121 (30.79%)), with statistically significant differences between all age groups (p=0.000). The average score of perception of pharmacists about home healthcare pharmacy services was (4.33). The element "Electronic prescribing in home healthcare pharmacy has a positive outcome to patients" obtained the highest score (4.45). The aspect "The off-labeling system in home healthcare pharmacy should be implemented to protect the healthcare providers from any liability" (4.44). The responders who agreed that they should be authorized and responsible for providing home healthcare pharmacy services to the patient was a pharmacist (4.30), clinical pharmacist (4.27), and Pharmacy technician (4.26), with statistically significant difference between the responses (p<0.000). The average score for "barriers might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation" was (4.33). The score for the element "Fear of legal liability" was (4.52), the aspect "Limited number of pharmacists who are specialized in geriatric patients when needed "was (4.46), and the element "Unaware of the need and importance of home healthcare pharmacy services" was (4.46). Conclusion: The attitudes and perceptions of pharmacists about home care pharmacy services are acceptable. All obstacles preventing home care pharmacy services should be removed. Standardized home care pharmacy services are highly recommended in Saudi Arabia.

Keywords: Attitudes, Perceptions, Pharmacists, Home care, pharmacy services, Saudi Arabia.

INTRODUCTION

Strategies with the new Saudi Vision 2030 and health care strategic planning encourage ambulatory care services.^[1,2] That includes ambulatory care clinics or primary care facilities and home healthcare services. The new pharmacy practice model within vision 2030 emphasized the exact directions of pharmacy for ambulatory care services at government and private healthcare organizations^[3] Those facilities cost less than critical or acute care services.^[4] The inclusion of pharmacy services, with a focus on home healthcare, may improve patient outcomes while reducing additional costs to the healthcare system.^[5-8] As a result, it is necessary to investigate the pharmacist's attitudes and perceptions of home health care services and the barriers that prevent home care pharmacy improvements. A few studies on home care pharmacy services have been conducted in local or Gulf or Arabic countries.^[4-16] Cross-sectional research aims to demonstrate the pharmacist's perception of home care pharmacy services in Saudi Arabia.

METHODS

The study analyzed a cross-sectional survey that discussed the attitudes and perceptions of pharmacists about home care pharmacy services in Saudi Arabia. It self-reported an electronic survey of the pharmacist, including pharmacists from internship to consultant, pharmacist specialties, and Saudi Arabia. All non-pharmacist or students, non-completed, non-qualified surveys will be excluded from the study. The survey consisted of respondents' demographic information about pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services, and barriers, which factors may Discourage the implementation of home care pharmacy services, and recommendations/ suggestions for facilitating the implementation of home healthcare pharmacy services, and recommendations/suggestions for facilitating the implementation of home healthcare pharmacy services.[4-16] The 5-point Likert response scale system was used with closedended questions. According to the previous litterateur with an unlimited population size, the sample was calculated as a cross-sectional study, with a confidence level of 95% with a z score of 1.96 and a margin of error of 5%, a population percentage of 50%, and drop-out rate 10%. As a result, the sample size will equal 380-420 with a power of study of 80%.^[17-19] The response rate required for the calculated sample size was at least 60-70 % and above.^[19-20] The survey was distributed through social media of Whatsapp applications and telegram groups of pharmacists. The reminder message had been sent every 1-2 weeks. The survey was validated through the revision of expert reviewers and pilot testing. Besides, various tests of the reliability of McDonald's w, Cronbach alpha, Gutmann's $\lambda 2$, and Gutmann's $\lambda 6$ were done with the study. The data analysis of attitudes and perceptions of pharmacists about home care pharmacy services is done through the survey monkey system. Besides, the statistical package of social sciences (SPSS), Jeffery's Amazing Statistics Program (JASP), and Microsoft Excel sheet version 16. It included a description and frequency analysis, good of fitness analysis, and correlation analysis. Besides, inferential analysis of factors affecting pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services and pharmacist's perception of some barriers might prevent home care pharmacy services implementation with the linear regression. The STROBE (Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies) guided the reporting of the current study.[21,22]

RESULTS

A total number of 393 pharmacists responded to the questionnaire. Of them, more than three-quarters responded from the Central region (303 (77.10%)), with statistically significant differences between the provinces (p=0.000). Most of the responders were from Pharmaceutical Companies (69 (17.56%)), University Hospitals (56 (14.25%)), Community Pharmacy (54 (13.74%)), and Private Hospital (53 (13.49%)), with statistically significant difference between working sites (p=0.000). Males responded less than females (195 (49.74%)) versus 197 (50.26%%)), with statistically non-significant differences between them (p=0.920). Most of the responders were in the age group of 24-35 years (267 (67.94%)) and 36-45 years (121 (30.79%)), with statistically significant differences between all age groups (p=0.000). Most responders held Pharm D (334 (84.99%)). Most of the pharmacists were staff pharmacists (367 (94.34%)), with statistically significant differences between all levels (p=0.000). Most pharmacists had a work experience of 4-6 years (185 (47.07%)), 1-3 years (72 (18.32%)), and 7-9 years (71 (18.07%)), with a statistically significant difference between years of experience (p=0.000). Most pharmacists had worked at Drug Information)239 ((60.81%)), inpatient pharmacy (78 ((53.94%)), and Inventory control (147 ((37.40%)). There was a medium negative correlation between age (years) and gender based on Kendall's tau_b (0.397) and Spearman's rho (0.400) correlation coefficients, with a statistically significant difference between the two factors (p < 0.000) (Tables 1 and 2).

The average score of perception of pharmacists about home healthcare pharmacy services was (4.33). The element "Electronic prescribing in home healthcare pharmacy has a positive outcome to patients" obtained the highest score (4.45). The aspect "The off-labeling system in home healthcare pharmacy should be implemented to protect the healthcare providers from any liability" (4.44). In contrast, the lowest score was obtained for the element "The home healthcare pharmacy elements are under-implemented in the healthcare institution (hospitals)" (4.18). The score of the component "In your institution, home healthcare pharmacy policy and procedures are well-implemented" was (4.20), with a statistically significant difference between the responses (p < 0.000). All aspects of the perception of pharmacists about home care pharmacy were statistically significant between responses (p<0.000) (Table 3). The responders agreed that they should be authorized and responsible for providing home healthcare pharmacy services to the patients as a pharmacist (4.30), clinical pharmacist (4.27), and Pharmacy technician (4.26), with a statistically significant difference between the responses (p < 0.000). All responses about aspects of perception of home healthcare pharmacy services responsibilities were statistically significant (p < 0.000) (Table 4). The average score for the element "barriers might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation" was (4.36). The score for the

component "Fear of legal liability" was (4.52), the element "Limited number of pharmacists who are specialized in geriatric patients when needed "was (4.46), and the element "Unaware of the need and importance of home healthcare pharmacy services" was (4.46). In contrast, low scores were obtained for the elements "Limited healthcare providers' knowledge about home healthcare pharmacy services" (4.22) and "Concern that providing home healthcare pharmacy services will generate extra work" (4.25). Besides, the elements "Uncertainty about the association between type of patients (geriatrics, handicapped, ..) and home healthcare pharmacy" (4.27) and "The home healthcare pharmacy services are too trivial to implement" was (4.27) with statistically significant difference between the responses (p<0.000). All responses about aspects of perception of Factors that affected barriers might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation were statistically significant (p<0.000) (Table 5). The score for single-test reliability analysis of McDonald's ω was 0.958, Cronbach's α was 0.956, Gutmann's was $\lambda 2$, 0.960, Gutmann's $\lambda 6$ was 0.989, and Greater Lower Bound was 0.996 with statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Factors affecting the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services

Factors affecting the perception were analyzed. We adjusted the significant values using the independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test and the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests. Pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services. That includes location, worksite, age (years), gender, experiences, and position, besides the number of home healthcare prescriptions and home care patients. There are statistically significant differences between all regions (p=0.000), with the highest score (4.4690) in the central area. Eleven worksites affected the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services. The MOH-primary care center and Military hospitals showed the highest scores (4.7429) and (4.5278), respectively, affecting the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services with a statistically significant difference between working sites (p=0.000)with significance among all sites. There are non-statically significant differences between males and females affected the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services (p=0.483). The age of the responders affected the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare *pharmacy services* with a statistically significant at all age groups (p=0.073), and there is nonstatistically significant between all age groups

Alomi, et al.: Home Care Clinical Pharmacy Practice

Table 1: Demographic, social in	formation.		
Nationality	Response Count	Response Percent	<i>p</i> -value (X2)
Central area	303	77.10%	0.000
North area	27	6.87%	
South area	32	8.14%	
East area	18	4.58%	
West area	13	3.31%	
Answered question	393		
Skipped question	0		
Site of work	Response Count	Response Percent	p-value (X2)
Ministry of Health Primary Hospital	32	8.14%	0.000
Military hospital	36	9.16%	
National Guard Hospital	2	0.51%	
Security Force Hospital	3	0.76%	
University Hospital	56	14.25%	
Ministry of Health Primary Care Center	44	11.20%	
Private Hospital	53	13.49%	
Private Ambulatory Care Clinic	20	5.09%	
Private Primary Healthcare Center	24	6.11%	
Community Pharmacy	54	13.74%	
Pharmaceutical Company	69	17.56%	
Answered question	393		
Skipped question	0		
Gender	Response Count	Response Percent	
Male	195	49.74%	0.920
Female	197	50.26%	
Answered question	392		
Skipped question	1		
Age	Response Count	Response Percent	
24-35	267	67.94%	0.000
36-45	121	30.79%	
46-55	4	1.02%	
> 55	1	0.25%	
Answered question	393		
Skipped question	0		

Table 2: Demographic, social info	rmation.		
Pharmacist Qualifications	Response Count	Response Percent	<i>p</i> -value (X2)
Diploma in Pharmacy	3	0.76%	
Bachelor's in pharmacy	21	5.34%	
Master	41	10.43%	
Doctor of Pharmacy (Pharm D)	334	84.99%	
Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.)	3	0.76%	
Postgraduate Year One (PGY1)	30	7.63%	
Postgraduate Year Two (PGY2)	40	10.18%	
Postgraduate Year Three (PGY3)	31	7.89%	
Fellowship	1	0.25%	
Answered question	393		
Skipped question	0		
Position Held	Response Count	Response Percent	
Director of Pharmacy	5	1.29%	0.000
Assistant Director of Pharmacy	4	1.03%	
Supervisor	13	3.34%	
Pharmacy staff	367	94.34%	
Answered question	389		
Skipped question	4		
Years of experience in a	Response	Response	
pharmacy career	Count	Percent	
<1	9	2.29%	0.000
1-3	72	18.32%	
4-6	185	47.07%	
7-9	71	18.07%	
>9	56	14.25%	
Answered question	393		
Skipped question	0	D	
The practice area	Count	Percent	
Inpatient Pharmacy	212	53.94%	
Outpatient Pharmacy	67	17.05%	
Satellite Pharmacy	2	0.51%	
Narcotics and Controlled	98	24.94%	
Extemporaneous Preparation	118	30.03%	
Clinical Pharmacy	99	25.19%	
Inventory Control	147	37.40%	
Drug Information	239	60.81%	
IV admixture	67	17.05%	
Community pharmacy	48	12.21%	
Pharmaceutical companies	163	41.48%	
Health education	1	0.25%	
Answered question	393		

Tabl	e 3: The pharmacist's perception of some items for home healthcare pharmacy s	services?												
8	ltem	Strong disagre	lly ee	Disagre	e U	Uncerta	. <u>.</u>	Agre	a)	Strong agree	È a	Total	Weighted Average	<i>p</i> -value (X2)
	The periodic training program for pharmacy and healthcare staff about home healthcare pharmacy services is mandatory	1.27%	ъ	1.02%	4	4.33%	17	47.58%	187	45.80%	180	393	4.36	0.000
5	All healthcare providers are familiar with home healthcare pharmacy practice	1.79%	~	1.53%	9	2.55%	10	44.64%	175	49.49%	194	392	4.39	0.000
3	In the future, all healthcare providers must consult pharmacists on home healthcare services	0.25%		2.04%	×	5.85%	23	53.94%	212	37.91%	149	393	4.27	0.000
4	In your institution, home healthcare pharmacy policy and procedures are well- implemented	0.76%	$\tilde{\omega}$	1.78%	~	16.79%	66	38.17%	150	42.49%	167	393	4.20	0.000
2	Home healthcare pharmacy services can be led to positive changes	1.02%	4	0.76%	3	10.94%	43	43.26%	170	44.02%	173	393	4.28	0.000
9	The home healthcare pharmacy elements are under-implemented in the healthcare institution (hospitals)	1.29%	S	2.58%	10	13.18%	51	42.38%	164	40.57%	157	387	4.18	0.000
	I believe that residency in a home healthcare pharmacy is necessary to be specialized in the care of geriatric patients	1.28%	S	1.02%	4	8.42%	33	47.19%	185	42.09%	165	392	4.28	0.000
8	I believe that continuing education should include the geriatric pharmacy	1.27%	Ŋ	1.53%	9	8.65%	34	41.73%	164	46.82%	184	393	4.31	0.000
6	Electronic prescribing in home healthcare pharmacy services has a positive outcome for patients	0.76%	Э	1.02%	4	3.82%	15	41.22%	162	53.18%	209	393	4.45	0.000
10	The off-labeling system in home healthcare pharmacy services should be implemented to protect the healthcare providers from any liability	1.28%	S	0.26%		5.87%	23	38.78%	152	53.83%	211	392	4.44	0.000
11	The health insurance does not cover most of the geriatric pharmacy services in the clinical practice	1.02%	4	0.00%	0	7.40%	29	40.56%	159	51.02%	200	392	4.41	0.000
12	I think there is under-working staff in home healthcare pharmacy services in the healthcare institutions	2.05%	×	0.26%		11.51%	45	37.08%	145	49.10%	192	391	4.31	0.000
13	The home healthcare pharmacy elements are under-implemented in the community pharmacy	1.02%	4	1.02%	4	7.67%	30	44.25%	173	46.04%	180	391	4.33	0.000
14	Home healthcare pharmacy services Decrease the healthcare cost (prescription medication cost and hospitalization and ER visits related to medications) among patients	1.02%	4	0.51%	2	2.81%	Π	49.74%	195	45.92%	180	392	4.39	0.000
15	The home healthcare pharmacy service is optimizing the effectiveness and safety of medications prescribed for patients	0.51%	7	1.02%	4	3.57%	14	47.45%	186	47.45%	186	392	4.4	0.000
16	Home healthcare pharmacy services are Improving patients' satisfaction and health outcomes clinically and economically	0.77%	б	0.77%	б	3.83%	15	45.92%	180	48.72%	191	392	4.41	0.000
	Answered											393		
	Skipped											0		

μË

Table	e 4: The authorized and respon	sible for pro	viding home	e healthcare	pharmac	y services to	o the pati	ents.						
No	ltem	Strongly	disagree	Disagr	ee	Uncert	ain	Agre	e	Strongly	agree	Total	Weighted Average	<i>p</i> -value (X2)
1	Doctors	0.77%	3	1.79%	7	6.89%	27	56.89%	223	33.67%	132	392	4.21	0.000
2	Clinical Pharmacist	1.27%	Ŋ	0.25%	1	8.40%	33	49.87%	196	40.20%	158	393	4.27	0.000
б	Pharmacist	1.53%	6	0.51%	2	7.14%	28	48.47%	190	42.35%	166	392	4.30	0.000
4	Nurses	0.76%	3	2.80%	11	10.43%	41	45.04%	177	40.97%	161	393	4.23	0.000
5	Pharmacy technicians	1.27%	ß	2.54%	10	11.20%	44	38.93%	153	46.06%	181	393	4.26	0.000
9	Caregiver	3.57%	14	3.06%	12	13.27%	52	33.42%	131	46.68%	183	392	4.17	0.000
	Answered											393		
	Skipped											0		

Tabl	e 5: The barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services impleme	ntation.												
٩	ltem	Strong disagr	ee ee	Disagr	ee	Uncerta	Ē	Agree	4	Stron	gly e	Total	Weighted Average	<i>p</i> -value (X2)
	Limited healthcare providers' knowledge of home healthcare pharmacy services	0.77%	33	1.79%	~	14.32%	56	41.18%	161	41.94%	164	391	4.22	0.000
7	Uncertainty about the association between the type of patients (geriatrics, handicapped,) and home healthcare pharmacy	0.51%	7	1.53%	9	17.60%	69	31.12%	122	49.23%	193	392	4.27	0.000
Э	The home healthcare pharmacy services are too trivial to implement	1.53%	9	0.77%	3	18.16%	71	28.13%	110	51.41%	201	391	4.27	0.000
4	Concern that providing home healthcare pharmacy services will generate extra work	1.79%		4.59%	18	9.69%	38	34.95%	137	48.98%	192	392	4.25	0.000
5	A limited number of pharmacists who are specialized in geriatric patients when needed	0.77%	3	1.53%	9	2.04%	8	42.60%	167	53.06%	208	392	4.46	0.000
9	Lack of confidence in discussing the home healthcare pharmacy services with the prescriber.	1.53%	9	0.51%	7	2.81%	11	45.27%	177	49.87%	195	391	4.41	0.000
	Limited/lack of home healthcare patient information in the medical record	0.77%	3	1.53%	9	4.34%	17	38.52%	151	54.85%	215	392	4.45	0.000
~	Limited time spent with home healthcare patients and consequently wrote the report	0.51%	2	1.79%	4	8.16%	32	34.44%	135	55.10%	216	392	4.42	0.000
6	Unaware of the existence of a national home healthcare pharmacy services	0.77%	3	2.04%	~	11.48%	45	28.32%	111	57.40%	225	392	4.4	0.000
10	Fear of legal liability	0.51%	2	3.32%	13	2.30%	6	31.63%	124	62.24%	244	392	4.52	0.000
11	Unaware of the need and importance of home healthcare pharmacy services	0.54%	5	1.61%	9	5.90%	22	35.12%	131	56.84%	212	373	4.46	0.000
12	The home healthcare pharmacy services are optional and not paid	1.02%	4	1.02%	4	4.86%	19	41.69%	163	51.41%	201	391	4.41	0.000
13	Home healthcare patients do not know about the availability of geriatric pharmacy services	0.51%	5	1.53%	9	10.71%	42	36.73%	144	50.51%	198	392	4.35	0.000
14	Insufficient staffing or physical space to provide geriatric pharmacy services	1.79%	~	1.28%	5	8.67%	34	36.22%	142	52.04%	204	392	4.35	0.000
15	Insufficient or Lack of periodic training programs provided for medical staff about geriatric pharmacy services	0.51%	7	1.02%	4	12.02%	47	29.67%	116	56.78%	222	391	4.41	0.000
16	The negative consequences are associated with home healthcare pharmacy services	1.02%	4	2.81%	11	13.78%	54	25.26%	66	57.14%	224	392	4.35	0.000
17	The home healthcare pharmacy services were not appropriately taught during the School of Pharmacy	0.51%	7	4.08%	16	11.73%	46	32.65%	128	51.02%	200	392	4.3	0.000
18	Most healthcare insurance does not include the home healthcare pharmacy services	2.56%	10	1.79%	~	14.83%	58	25.32%	66	55.50%	217	391	4.29	0.000
	Answered											392		
	Skipped											1		

(p>0.05). Five levels of work experience affected the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services. The lowest score (3.4792) was obtained for those with work experience of less than one year, with a statistically significant difference between all levels (p=0.000). Four levels of the position affected the perception of pharmacists, with the highest score (4.3903) obtained for the pharmacy staff, with a statistically significant difference between all levels (p=0.000). The number of home care prescriptions affected pharmacist's perceptions about home healthcare pharmacy services. The pharmacist did not know the number of prescriptions, or they could not specify it obtained the lowest scores (3.4250) with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000). The number of home care patients affected pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services. The group of 19-21 patients daily obtained the highest scores (4.6127) with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000).

The relationship between the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services and factors. That includes location, worksite, age (years), gender, experiences, and position, besides the number of home healthcare prescriptions and home care patients. The multiple regression analysis considered perception as the dependent variable and factors affecting it as an expletory variable. There was a medium relationship (R=0.493 with p=0.000) between the pharmacist's perception of home healthcare pharmacy services and its factors. Five out of eight were non-significant differences (p>0.05). However, multiple regression analysis confirmed that two factors (i.e., locations, Position Held) explained 27.3% and 30.4%, respectively, of the negative relationship to the variation in perception, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000) and (p=0.000). Besides, one factor (the number of home care patients) explained 17.4 % of the positive relationship to the variation in perception, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.020). The bootstrap model was also confirmed. Furthermore, the relationship was verified by the non-existence of multicollinearity with a variance inflation factor (VIF) of 1.073, 1.123, and 2.832, respectively less than three or five as a sufficient number of VIF (Table 6).[23-25]

Factors affecting the pharmacist's perception about authorized and responsible for home healthcare pharmacy services

Factors affecting the perception were analyzed. We adjusted the significant values using the independent samples Kruskal–Wallis test and the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests. Pharmacist's perception about the authorized and responsible for the home care pharmacy services includes location, worksite, age (years), gender, experiences, position held, homecare prescription, and the number of home care patients. Five locations affected the knowledge of pharmacists about high-risk medication. There are statistically significant differences between all regions (p=0.000), with the highest score (4.3675) in the central area. Eleven worksites affected the pharmacist's perception about authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy services. The private ambulatory care clinics showed the lowest scores (3.3333) that affected the *pharmacist's* perception about authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy services with a statistically significant difference between working sites (p=0.000) with significance among all sites. There are non-statically significant differences between males and females that affected the pharmacist's perception about authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy services (p=0.062). The age of the responders affected the perception of authorized and accountable home healthcare pharmacy services with a statistically significant difference between all age groups (p=0.044), and there was a nonstatically significant difference among all age levels (p>0.05). Five levels of work experience affected the perception about authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy services. The lowest score (3.1667) was obtained for those with work experience of less than one year, with a statistically significant difference between all levels (p=0.000). Four levels of the position affected the perception of pharmacists, with the highest score (4.2718) obtained for the pharmacy staff, with a statistically significant difference between all levels (p=0.000). The number of home care prescriptions affected the perception of an authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy. The number of prescriptions (6-10) daily obtained the lowest scores (3.6635) with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000). The number of home care patients affected the perception of an authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy. The group of 4-6 patients daily obtained the lowest scores (3.3611) with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000).

The relationship between the pharmacist's perception about an authorized and responsible for home healthcare pharmacy services and factors such as location, worksite, age (years), gender, years of experience, position held, number of homecare prescriptions, and number of homecare patients. The multiple regression analysis considered perception as the dependent variable and factors affecting it as an expletory variable. There was a medium relationship (R=0.522 with p=0.000) between

the pharmacist's perception about authorized and responsible home healthcare pharmacy services and its factors. Three out of eight were non-significant differences (p>0.05). However, multiple regression analysis confirmed that two factors (i.e., locations and experiences) explained 27.6 % and 35.4%, respectively, of the negative relationship to the variation in perception, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000) and (p=0.000).

The bootstrap model was also confirmed. Furthermore, the relationship was verified by the non-existence of multicollinearity with the working site factor with a variance inflation factor (VIF) of 1.249 and 1.453, respectively, less than three or five as an adequate number of VIF.[20-22] Besides, three factors Worksite, Position held, and number of homecare prescriptions) explained 11.0 %, 18.6%, and 20.9% of the positive relationship to the variation in perception, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.016), (p=0.000), and (p=005), respectively. The bootstrap model was also confirmed. Furthermore, the relationship was verified by the non-existence of multicollinearity with a variance inflation factor (VIF) of 1.073, 1.123, and 2.832, respectively less than three or five as a sufficient number of VIF (Table 7).^[23-25]

Factors affecting the pharmacist's perception about some barriers might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation

Factors affecting the perception were analyzed. We adjusted the significant values using the independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test and the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests. Pharmacist's perception about some barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation includes location, worksite, age (years), gender, experiences, position held, number of homecare prescription, and number of homecare patients. There are statistically significant differences between all regions (p=0.000), with the highest score (4.5157) in the central area. Eleven worksites affected the perception of barriers preventing home care pharmacy implementations. University hospitals and private hospitals showed the highest scores (4.8056) and (4.7600), respectively, affecting the Pharmacist's perception of some barriers that might prevent home health care pharmacy services implementation with a statistically significant difference between working sites (p=0.000) with a statistically significant among all sites. Females (4.5200) were affected more than males (4.2219) by Pharmacist's perception that some barriers might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation

	201 - 11-1	4	4		ï									
	Model	۲	R Square	L	-bic	Unstar	idardized ficients	Standardized Coefficients	μ	.bic		ence Interval r B	Statistic	^م تر
					,	8	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Tolerance	VIF
-	(Constant)	.493 ^b	.243	15.200	۰000 ⁴	2.793	.343		8.139	000.	2.118	3.468		
	Location					147	.027	273	-5.456	000.	200	094	.801	1.249
	Site of work					.008	.008	.045	.962	.336	008	.024	.932	1.073
	Age (years)					036	.059	033	622	.534	152	620.	.713	1.403
	Pharmacist gender					043	.061	038	708	.479	163	.077	689.	1.451
	Years of experience in a pharmacy career					000.	.031	000	-000	.993	061	.061	.688	1.453
	Position Held					.429	.067	.304	6.416	000.	.297	.560	068.	1.123
	Number homecare prescription					023	.022	078	-1.037	.300	067	.021	.353	2.832
	Number homecare patients					.050	.021	.174	2.330	.020	.008	.092	.358	2.796
a. J	Dependent Variable: Perception of home healt	hcare phai	macy servic	es, Predicto	rs: (Cons	tant), Loc	ation, Age (ye	ars), Pharmacist ge	ender, Posi	tion Held, a	nd Years of Exper	ience at pharmacy	career, No hon	lecare

prescription, and No homecare patients.

		Bootstra	Ip for Coefficie	ents			
	Model	в	Bias		Boot	strap ^a	
				Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confide	ince Interval
					(2-tailed)	Lower	Upper
П	(Constant)	2.793	015	.424	.001	1.947	3.652
	Location	147	2.209E-05	.028	.001	205	097
	Site of work	.008	000.	600.	.352	008	.025
	Age (years)	036	.003	.054	.488	135	.084
	Pharmacist gender	043	004	.060	.486	165	.065
	Years of experience in a pharmacy career	000.	000.	.030	988.	060	.061
	Position Held	.429	.005	.081	.001	.271	.604
	Number homecare prescription	023	9.663E-05	.019	.214	061	.013
	Number homecare patients	.050	001	.018	600.	.011	.085

a. Unless otherwise noted, bootstrap results are based on 1000 bootstrap samples

with statistically significant between them (p=0.000). The age of the responders did not affect the Pharmacist's perception of some barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation with nonstatistically significant differences between all age groups (p=0.070). Five levels of work experience affected the Pharmacist's perception of some barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation. The lowest score (3.5606) was obtained for those with work experience of less than one year, with a statistically significant difference between all levels (p=0.000). Four levels of the position affected the perception of pharmacists, with the highest score (4.4196) obtained for the pharmacy staff, with a statistically significant difference between all levels (p=0.000). The number of home care prescriptions affected Pharmacist's perception of some barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation. The number of prescriptions was (0) daily and obtained the lowest scores (3.4870) with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000). The number of home care patients affected Pharmacist's perception that some barriers might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation. The group of (0) patients daily obtained the lowest scores (3.4158) with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000).

The relationship between the pharmacist's perception about some barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation and factors such as location, worksite, age (years), gender, years of experience, position held, number of homecare prescriptions, and number of homecare patients. The multiple regression analysis considered perception as the dependent variable and factors affecting it as an expletory variable. There was a medium relationship (R=0.533 with p=0.000) between the barriers that might prevent home healthcare pharmacy services implementation and its factors. Five out of eight were non-significant differences (p>0.05). However, multiple regression analysis confirmed that one factor (i.e., locations) explained 31.3 % of the negative relationship to the variation in perception, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.000). Besides, two factors (experiences and position held) explained 18.2 % and 19.9% of the positive relationship to the variation in perception, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.001)and (p=0.000), respectively. The bootstrap model was also confirmed. Furthermore, the relationship was verified by the nonexistence of multicollinearity with a variance inflation factor (VIF) of 1.249, 1.453, and 1.124, respectively less than three or five as an adequate number of VIF (Table 8).[23-25]

DISCUSSION

Home healthcare services have expanded over the past years.^[26,27] It started with a simple team of physicians with few physical assessments and diagnosis services and nursing care to various activities such as laboratories test, respiratory therapy, home oral medication delivery, Intravenous infusion therapy, enteral and parenteral nutrition support, and vaccination programs. Home care services are expanding day by day.^[27] Those services are based on the healthcare strategies of the Ministry of Health and Saudi Vision 2030.^[1,2] There are various methods of medication dispensing. Sometimes there is a unique pharmacy at the home healthcare center at healthcare organizations responsible for medication distribution, or the hospital pharmacy dispenses the medications to patients through home care nurses responsible for taking care of drugs and deliver to the patients at each visit. Some healthcare institutions used to distribute the medicines through Saudi managed care pharmacy system and, on behalf of community pharmacies, dispensed medications called (Wasfaty) system.^[28,29] Those medications are allocated to cancer, Alzheimer's, bedridden patients, and other chronic illnesses. Complete home care pharmaceutical care is not unified for all hospitals.^[30] The wide distribution of medicines was essential to the covid-19 pandemic period. ^[31] The dispensary of home care pharmacy might be affected by the pharmacist's attitudes toward home care pharmacy services, the responsibility of home care pharmacy jobs, or some barriers preventing home care pharmacy improvement and implementation in practice. The current cross-sectional study with various types of pharmacist's locations, working sites, different age levels, experiences, and positions might reflect the reality of pharmacist society, similar to the previous study.^[9] The survey was distributed to the pharmacy with validation and high single-test reliability results, which was better than the previous study.^[9]

The finding showed the average score of pharmacist's perception of home care pharmacy services was appropriate. Most pharmacists believe that electronic prescribing of home care pharmacy services is highly suggested. That's excellent advice and should include all medication through the patient's profile with an official national application of MOH called the «Sehhaty» Platform.^[32] Besides, the pharmacist agreed that off-labeled medicine dispensing to home care patients is highly demanding. That's related to rarely found drug therapy reviews or follow-up in the home care pharmacy, which might lead to potential drug-related problems. Most pharmacists believe that home care pharmacy exists but disagree that its policy and procedures were well implemented. That's related to the absent unified system of home care pharmacy. Some points of perception highly agreed with most pharmacists, such as periodic training in home care pharmacy, the establishment of the geriatric residency program, full coverage of medications by Heath insurance system, home care provided by community pharmacies, and medication safety guidelines for home care pharmacy. All those suggestions are beneficial to improve home care patient clinical and economic outcomes. Thus, there is no previous investigation to compare with the current findings.

Various factors might have affected the pharmacist's perception of home care pharmacy. For instance, the location and work sites, such as the central region, MOH primary care, and military hospital, might allow some pharmacists to provide home care pharmacy services. The new graduate pharmacist had low perception because they did not teach during pharmacy school and were not involved in home care pharmacy services after graduation. On the other hand, the pharmacy staff had a high perception because they commonly involve in the drug distribution for home care patients. The number of patients and their prescriptions might increase the pharmacist's perception of home care pharmacy because they can see the practice and role of pharmacists within home care pharmacy services. The most dependable factors that might have affected the perception of home care pharmacy service were the number of patients might have affected the positive perception, and pharmacy position might affect the negative perception. The lower-position pharmacists have a high perception of home care pharmacy services due to their experiences in practice. Thus, there is no previous investigation to compare with the current findings.

The finding showed that all pharmacists believed that pharmacists or pharmacy technicians should be responsible for home care pharmacy, not physicians or nurses. That's a related comprehensive system of pharmaceutical care emphasizing the long list of medications that can be distributed to home care patients. The pharmacy staff is familiar with the pharmacy practice system and regulations. Various factors might have affected perceptions of home care pharmacy responsibilities; such locations are because home care service providers are available in the central region. In contrast, the private ambulatory care clinic had lowered perception because it might not provide home health care services. The young generation of pharmacists working as pharmacy staff had a good perception of home care responsibilities because they are operating and observing

U.	ibie /: iniuitipie regression of ractors with	une Perce	puon or au	ILUOLIZATIO	n and re	ponsibil	e tor proviai	ng nome nearno	are pnarn	nacy servi	ces to the patien	c.		
	Model	æ	R Square	u.	Sig.	Unstal Coe	ndardized fficients	Standardized Coefficients	t.	Sig.	95.0% Confid foi	ence Interval B	Collineari Statistic:	ز
						В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Tolerance	VIF
-	(Constant)	.522 ^b	.272	17.675	۰000 ⁴	3.317	.402		8.257	000.	2.527	4.107		
	Location					178	.032	276	-5.637	000.	240	116	.801	1.249
	Site of work					.023	.010	.110	2.420	.016	.004	.042	.932	1.073
	Age (years)					.061	.069	.046	.893	.373	074	.196	.713	1.403
	Pharmacist gender					034	.071	025	471	.638	174	.107	689.	1.451
	Years of experience in a pharmacy career					243	.036	354	-6.690	000.	314	172	.688	1.453
	Position Held					.313	.078	.186	3.997	000.	.159	.467	068.	1.123
	Number homecare prescription					.074	.026	.209	2.832	.005	.023	.126	.353	2.832
	Number homecare patients					.040	.025	.117	1.597	111.	-000	060.	.358	2.796
a.	Dependent Variable: Perception of authorizatic	on and res	ponsible for	providing h	ome healt	hcare ph	armacy servic	es to the patients, F	redictors:	(Constant),	Location, Age (ye	ars), Pharmacist g	ender, Position	Held,

and Years of Experience at pharmacy career, No homecare prescription, and No homecare patients

		Bootstra	p for Coefficie	ents			
	Model	8	Bias		Boot	strap ^a	
				Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confide	ence Interval
					(2-tailed)	Lower	Upper
П	(Constant)	3.317	.025	.678	.001	1.841	4.595
	Location	178	004	.044	.001	274	102
	Site of work	.023	000.	.012	.045	.001	.045
	Age (years)	.061	.005	.080	.458	086	.223
	Pharmacist gender	034	001	.084	169.	202	.137
	Years of experience in a pharmacy career	243	010	.047	.001	351	160
	Position Held	.313	001	.153	.036	.018	.631
	Number homecare prescription	.074	.002	.034	.028	.011	.142
	Number homecare patients	.040	.001	.034	.260	024	.109

a. Unless otherwise noted, bootstrap results are based on 1000 bootstrap samples

	Model	æ	R Square	ш	Sig.	Unstal Coe	ndardized fficients	Standardized Coefficients	÷	Sig.	95.0% Confic fo	lence Interval r B	Collineari Statistic	s ty
						8	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Tolerance	VIF
-	(Constant)	.533 ^b	.284	18.678	.000 ^b	3.336	.360		9.277	000.	2.629	4.043		
	Location					182	.028	313	-6.431	000.	237	126	.801	1.249
	Site of work					007	600.	038	838	.402	024	.010	.933	1.071
	Age (years)					066	.061	056	-1.081	.280	187	.054	.713	1.402
	Pharmacist gender					.164	.064	.135	2.568	.011	.038	.290	069.	1.450
	Years of experience in a pharmacy career					.113	.033	.182	3.464	.001	.049	.177	.688	1.453
	Position Held					.302	.070	.199	4.306	000.	.164	.439	068.	1.124
	Number homecare prescription					045	.023	141	-1.928	.055	091	.001	.353	2.835
	Number homecare patients					026	.023	084	-1.159	.247	070	.018	.358	2.797
Q	ependent Variable: Perception of barriers that	at might p	event hom	e healthcare	e pharmac	v services	implementati	on. Predictors: (C	onstant). Lo	cation. Ag	e (vears), Pharma	cist gender. Positio	on Held, and Ye	ars of

ŝ ŝ 5 à ŝ a. Dependent variable. Is everyou of particles that might prevent notice near their practice at Experience at pharmacy career, No homecare prescription, and No homecare patients Dependent ъ.

		Bootstra	p for Coefficie	ents			
	Model	в	Bias		Boot	strap ^a	
				Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confide	ince Interval
					(2-tailed)	Lower	Upper
-	(Constant)	3.336	002	.554	.001	2.114	4.343
	Location	182	003	.037	.001	261	114
	Site of work	007	001	.011	.497	030	.014
	Age (years)	066	.007	.071	.349	197	.089
	Pharmacist gender	.164	003	.067	.016	.038	.291
	Years of experience in a pharmacy career	.113	.001	.033	.006	.050	.179
	Position Held	.302	.005	.115	.007	660.	.548
	Number homecare prescription	045	.000	.019	.021	082	006
	Number homecare patients	026	002	.022	.236	075	.013

a. Unless otherwise noted, bootstrap results are based on 1000 bootstrap samples

all obstacles with home care pharmacy and what's the best responsible leader of the home care pharmacy. The number of patients and medication prescribing affect the responsible perception because they choose the appropriate leader for the drug distribution system to prevent drug-related errors and increase home care patient satisfaction. The most dependable factors affecting the responsibility increase perception of home care pharmacy were the working site, position, and the number of home care prescriptions. That's expected, as discussed above. In contrast, the limited experience might affect negative perceptions of home care pharmacy services, and that's expected because there is no working at the home care services. Thus, there is no previous investigation to compare with the current findings.

The findings showed that most of the responders agreed with barriers to prevention of the home care pharmacy services with a high perception score. Most pharmacists believed that fear of legal liability, a limited number of pharmacists providing the services, and unawareness of the demand for home care pharmacy services are significant obstacles to implementing the home care pharmacy. That's related to unclear home care pharmacy regulations and the pharmacist's role. Besides, education and training are absent for a home care pharmacy, and a shortage of pharmacists. Generally, the number of pharmacy staff, including destructive pharmacists or clinical pharmacists and pharmacy technicians, is in high demand now and in the future. Some pharmacist believes that home care pharmacy might generate extra work or insufficient knowledge of home care pharmacy services. That's expected because the reimbursement system for pharmacies does not exist locally.^[33] The pharmacist will suffer from the high workload if it increases without reimbursement payments in the pharmacy practice. Other barriers should be considered, such as the lack of home care patient's profiles similar to the previous study.^[9] That will be resolved with the new system of national application «Sehhaty» Platform.^[32] Besides, additional barriers that needed to be fixed, such as home care pharmacy, were not discussed thoroughly during pharmacy school. All pharmacy colleges should involve the home pharmacy practice topics for students. In addition, the limited time spent with patients during visiting and unawareness of existing home care pharmacy facilities was similar to the previous study.^[9] If there are general home care regulations or policies and procedures, pharmacy services will resolve them.

Various factors might have affected the perceived barriers to home care pharmacy. For example, the location and working site, if they comprehensively services of home healthcare, will discover barriers related to home care pharmacy. Besides, high years of experience in a lower position as a staff pharmacy, which mainly practices home care pharmacy, can observe obstacles. Similarly, low numbers of patients or prescriptions do not affect all barriers to implementing home care pharmacy services. Therefore, the most dependable factors that might watch the barriers preventing home care pharmacy were high experience and lower position because they practice home care pharmacy. Thus, there is no previous investigation to compare with the current findings.

Limitations

Even though the current cross-sectional design explores various information about pharmacist perception and preventive barriers to home care pharmacy implementation, it concerns several points. The study did not use randomized sampling techniques and thus contained various demographic differences and unequal representation from each pharmacy sector. Future research with a randomized sampling method is warranted.

CONCLUSION

The pharmacist's perception of home care pharmacy service was appropriate. The pharmacist believed that electronic prescriptions and off-labeled indications should be implemented. The pharmacist agreed that the full responsibility of home care pharmacy service is the pharmacist, not other healthcare providers. The most significant barriers toward pharmacy and preventing home care pharmacy services were shortages of pharmacists specialized in geriatrics pharmacy and the absence of official home care pharmacyrelated regulations. Besides, unawareness of the demand for home care pharmaceutical care services. Various factors affecting the pharmacist's perception of home care pharmacy practice and related barriers were locations, working sites, experiences, positions, and the number of home care patients and associated medications. All preventive barriers to home care pharmacy implementation should be removed. Future investigation into home care pharmacy practice is suggested to follow up the improvement of home care pharmaceutical care in Saudi Arabia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

None.

Consent for Publications

Informed consent was obtained from all the participants

Ethical Approval

This research was exempted from research and ethical committee or an institutional review board (IRB) approval.

https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/decision-charts-2018/index.html

ABBREVIATIONS

MOH: Ministry of Health; KSA: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; SPSS: Statistical Package of Social Sciences; JASP: Jeffery's Amazing Statistics Program; STROBE: Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology statement.

ORCID ID

Yousef Ahmed Alomi ២ https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-1381-628X

REFERENCES

- Government of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia Vision 2030 [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https:// vision2030.gov.sa/sites/default/files/report/Saudi_ Vision2030_EN_2017.pdf
- 2. Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030. National Transformation Program 2020. 2017;
- Alomi YA. New Pharmacy Model for Vision 2030 in Saudi Arabia. J Pharm Pract Community Med. 2017;3(3).
- Almoajel A, Al-Salem A, LA-G-AJ of, 2016 undefined. The Quality of Home Healthcare Service in Riyadh/Saudi Arabia. AjscLeena-LunaCoJp [Internet]. 2016;5(2). Available from: http://www.ajsc.leena-luna.co.jp/AJSCPDFs/ Vol.5(2)/AJSC2016(5.2-08).pdf
- Reidt S, Morgan J, Larson T, Blade MA. The role of a pharmacist on the home care team: A collaborative model between a college of pharmacy and a Visiting Nurse Agency. Home Healthcare Nurse. 2013;31(2):80–7.
- Abbott RA, Moore DA, Rogers M, Bethel A, Stein K, Coon JT. Effectiveness of pharmacist home visits for individuals at risk of medication-related problems: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020;20(1).
- 7. Boles N, Gowac A. The Role of the Pharmacist in Home Healthcare. Home Health now. 2017;35(1).
- Debavalya U, Moolasarn S, Orossarum J, Samangsri K, Yenwijitsopa A, Jaipen P. The Impacts of Home Care Pharmacy Services in Diabetic Patients. Thai J Hosp Pharm [Internet]. 2008;18(1):39–51. Available from: file:///C:/Users/ BIGGY/Downloads/No1Vol18P039-051.pdf
- 9. Houle S, MacKeigan L. Home care pharmacy practice in Canada: A cross-sectional survey of

services provided, remuneration, barriers, and facilitators. Can J Hosp Pharm. 2017;70(4):294–300.

- Triller DM. Home care pharmacy : Extending clinical pharmacy. Am J Heal Pharm. 2000; 57:1326–31.
- Meyer-Massetti C, Meier CR, Guglielmo BJ. The scope of drug-related problems in the home care setting. Int J Clin Pharm [Internet]. 2018;40(2):325–34. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1007/s11096-017-0581-9
- Tuffaha LHN and H. Health care and pharmacy practice in Jordan. Can J Hosp Pharm. 2017;70(2):150–5.
- Shannon L. Reidt, Tom A. Larson, Ronald S. Hadsall, Donald L. Uden, Mary Ann Blade RB. Integrating a Pharmacist Into a Home Healthcare Agency Care Model. Home Healthcare Nurse. 2014;32(3):146–52.
- MacKeigan LD, Nissen LM. Clinical pharmacy services in the home. Dis Manag Heal Outcomes. 2008;16(4):227–44.
- Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions. National Standards for Home Healthcare Services. 2022.
- Loh Yik Hin, Wong Kirk ChuanTham Weng Yew, Yim Sau Kit AYH. Guidelines for Home Care. 2015.
- Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research? Vol. 35, Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine. 2013. p. 121–6.
- Pourhoseingholi MA, Vahedi M, Rahimzadeh M. Sample size calculation in medical studies. Gastroenterol Hepatol from Bed to Bench. 2013;6(1):14–7.
- G.Ezhumalai. How big a sample do I need require. Ann SBV. 2017;6(1):39–41.

- Johnson TP, Wislar JS. Response rates and nonresponse errors in surveys [Internet]. Vol. 307, JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association. 2012. p. 1805–6. Available from: http://www.aapor.org/Standard_Definitions2.htm.
- Erik von Elm, Douglas G. Altman, Matthias Egger, Stuart J. Pocock, Peter C. Gøtzsche JPV. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: Guidelines for Reporting Observational Studies. PLoS Med [Internet]. 2007;4(10):1623–7. Available from: http://www.epidem.com/
- 22. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 370, www.thelancet.com. 2007. Available from: www.plosmedicine.org
- Liao D, Valliant R. Variance inflation factors in the analysis of complex survey data. Surv Methodol. 2012;38(1):53–62.
- Akinwande MO, Dikko HG, Samson A. Variance Inflation Factor: As a Condition for the Inclusion of Suppressor Variable(s) in Regression Analysis. Open J Stat. 2015;05(07):754–67.
- Thompson CG, Kim RS, Aloe AM, Becker BJ. Extracting the Variance Inflation Factor and Other Multicollinearity Diagnostics from Typical Regression Results. Basic Appl Soc Psych. 2017;39(2):81–90.
- Saudi Ministry of Health. MOH statistical report [Internet]. Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia; 2017.
 1–318 p. Available from: https://www.moh.gov. sa/Ministry/About/Documents/MOH_ANNUAL_ BOOKLET_2017 FINAL (1).pdf

- 27. Ministery of Heath. Home Health Care Services [Internet]. Ministry of Heath. 2022 [cited 2022 Nov 14]. Available from: https://www.moh.gov. sa/en/awarenessplateform/Patientsrights/Pages/ HomeHealthCare.aspx
- Alomi YA, Alghamdi SJ, Alattyh RA. Saudi Managed Care Pharmacy (SMCP): New Initiative System of MOH Prescriptions Dispensed Through Community Pharmacies. J Pharm Pract Community Med. 2017;3(3).
- Aloola N Al, Aljudaib S, Behery F, Alwhaibi M, Alhawassi T. Perception of Saudi Community towards the Transition of Pharmaceutical Care Services from the Ministry of Health Primary Healthcare Centers to the Community Pharmacies. Res Sq. 2020;(12):1-20.
- Pharmaceutical Services Programme Ministry of Health Malaysia. HOME CARE PHARMACY SERVICES PROTOCOL. 2019.
- Alomi YA, AlHamdan BS, Alosaimi SO, Altuwayhir DA. Public practice of using Hand Sanitizer and Disinfectant in Saudi Arabia. Pharmacol Toxicol Biomed Reports. 2021;7(3):95–101.
- «Sehhaty» Platform «Sehhaty» Platform [Internet]. [cited 2022 Nov 17]. Available from: https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/eServices/Sehhaty/ Pages/default.aspx
- Ganther JM. Third-party reimbursement for pharmacist services: why has it been so difficult to obtain, and is it really the answer for pharmacey? Vol. 42, Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association (Washington, D.C.: 1996). 2002. p. 875–9.